
Advanced XML / Data on the Web

Lecture 2

Lars Birkedal [birkedal@it-c.dk]

The IT University of Copenhagen



Outline of this lecture

- ◆ Review of XML data model (node-labelled graphs) + DTDs
 - Chapter 3 of ABS
 - XML Revolution Chapter 3
 - Bosak: XML, Java and the Future of the Web
- ◆ Comparison of XML with semistructured data
- ◆ XSLT
 - XML Revolution Chapter 4–5 (assumed known)
 - Wadler: A formal semantics of patterns in XSLT



XML

- ◆ a W3C standard to complement HTML
- ◆ descendant of SGML
- ◆ motivation:
 - HTML describes layout
 - XML describes content
- ◆ $\text{HTML 4.0} \in \text{XML} \subseteq \text{SGML}$



HTML

```
<h1>Bibliography</h1>
<p><i>Foundations of Databases</i>
  Abiteboul, Hull, Vianu
  <br>Addison Wesley, 1995
<p><i>Data on the Web</i>
  Abiteboul, Buneman, Suciu
  <br>Morgan Kaufmann, 1999
```



XML

```
<bibliography>
<book>
  <title>Foundations of Databases</title>
  <author>Abiteboul</author>
  <author>Hull</author>
  <author>Vianu</author>
  <publisher>Addison Wesley</publisher>
  <year>1995</year>
</book>
...
```

XML describes the content



XML Terminology

- ◆ tags: `book`, `title`, `author`, ...
- ◆ start tag: `<book>`, end tag: `</book>`
- ◆ elements: `<book> ... </book>`
`<author> ... </author>`
- ◆ empty element: `<red></red>`, abbr. `<red/>`
- ◆ an XML document: a single root element
- ◆ *well-formed* XML document: if it has matching tags



More XML Motivation

- ◆ Extensibility (not a fixed set of tags)
- ◆ Structure (documents can be nested to any level of complexity)
- ◆ Validation (XML documents can contain an optional description of its grammar for use by applications that need to perform structural validation — more about this later)



Example XML Application

- ◆ LaCoMoCo: Laboratory for Context-dependent Mobile Communication
- ◆ Location-based services
 - approaching train, get info about next train towards home if after normal working hours
 - in supermarket need info from Consumer Information
 - distribution of traffic information collected by DR
- ◆ we'll use XML for exchange of data

See Bosak article for other examples (health care, aerospace industry, etc.)



XML — Attributes

```
<book price="55" currency="USD">  
  <title>Foundations of Databases</title>  
  <author>Abiteboul</author>  
  . . . .  
  <year>1995</year>  
</book>
```

attributes are alternative ways to represent data



XML — Graph Model

```
<person><name>Alice</name>  
    <age>42</age>  
    <email>a@itu.dk</email>  
</person>
```

Node-labelled graphs.



XML vs. SSD I

- ◆ ssd expression is a set of label/subtree pairs; denote edge-labelled graphs
- ◆ xml element has just one top-level label and then an ordered list of sub-elements; denote node-labelled graphs



XML vs. SSD II

- ◆ ssd model is based on unordered collections
- ◆ xml elements are ordered:

```
<person><fname>Alice</fname>  
      <lname>Smith</lname></person>
```

```
<person><lname>Smith</lname>  
      <fname>Alice</fname></person>
```

are different XML documents



XML vs. SSD III

- ◆ *but* XML attributes are not ordered:

```
<person fname="Alice" lname="Smith" />
```

```
<person lname="Smith" fname="Alice" />
```

are equal XML documents.

- ◆ No order is important for optimizations
- ◆ Applications of XML for data exchange likely to ignore order.



XML vs. SSD III

- ◆ XML can mix text and elements

```
<talk>XML, Java, and the future of the Web  
  <speaker>Jon Bosak</speaker>  
</talk>
```

- ◆ XML has lots of other stuff (entities, processing instructions, etc.)
- ◆ This makes XML data management harder!



XML — Oids and References

- ◆ For representation of graphs (not just trees) in XML

```
<person id="o17">
  <name>Alice</name>
</person>
<person id="o78">
  <name>Bob</name>
  <children idref="o12 o13"/>
</person>
<person id="o12">
  <name>Charlie</name>
  <father idref="o78"/>
</person>
```

- ◆ oids and references in XML are just syntax



XML — CDATA section

◆ Syntax: `<![CDATA[...any text here...]]>`

◆ Example:

```
<example>  
  <![CDATA[ some text here </notatag><> ]]>  
</example>
```



XML — Entity References

- ◆ Syntax: `&entityname;`
- ◆ Example: `<element>less than <</element>`
- ◆ Some entities

<code>&lt;</code>	<code><</code>
<code>&gt;</code>	<code>></code>
<code>&amp;</code>	<code>&</code>
<code>&apos;</code>	<code>'</code>
<code>&quot;</code>	<code>"</code>
<code>&#38;</code>	unicode char



XML — Comments

- ◆ Syntax: `<! --... comment text... -->`
- ◆ Part of the data model!



XML — Processing Instructions

- ◆ Syntax: `<? target argument ?>`

- ◆ Example:

```
<product><name>alarm clock</name>  
    <?ringBell 20?>  
    <price>19,95</price>  
</product>
```

- ◆ No semantics, just passed on to the applications processing the data.



XML — Namespaces

- ◆ <http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml-names/>
(January 1999)
- ◆ name ::= [prefix] localpart
- ◆ prefix is an URI (does not need to actually point to anything), i.e., unique and persistent over time
- ◆ Example:

```
<book xmlns:isbn="www.isbn-org.org/def">  
  <title>...</title>  
  <number>15</number>  
  <isbn:number>...</isbn:number>  
</book>
```

Used by XSL processors, for example.



DTDs

- ◆ Document Type Definition
- ◆ Serves as grammar for the underlying XML document
- ◆ Part of the XML Language
- ◆ Can, to some extent, serve as schema for data represented by XML document (we'll discuss to what extent later)



DTD Example

A DTD for

```
<db><person><name>Alice</name>  
      <age>42</age>  
      <email>a@itu.dk</email>  
    </person>  
    <person> ... </person> </db>
```

may look like

```
<!DOCTYPE db [  
  <!ELEMENT db (person*)>  
  <!ELEMENT person (name,age,email)>  
  <!ELEMENT name (#PCDATA)>  
  <!ELEMENT age (#PCDATA)>  
  <!ELEMENT email (#PCDATA)> ]>
```



DTDs as Grammars

- ◆ Regular expressions: e^* , e^+ , $e^?$, $e|e'$, e, e'
- ◆ Context-free grammar for the document, in particular prescribes the *order* of elements
- ◆ Can be recursive:

```
<!ELEMENT node (leaf | (node,node))>  
<!ELEMENT leaf (#PCDATA)>
```



Declaring Attributes in DTDs

- ◆ `<!ATTLIS element-name attr-name attr-type attr-default />`
- ◆ Attribute types:
 - CDATA: any data allowed (default)
 - `(value | ...)`: enumeration of allowed values
 - ID, IDREF, IDREFS: ID attribute values must be unique, IDREF must match some ID (reference to an element)



Declaring Attributes in DTDs: Example

```
<!DOCTYPE family [  
  <!ELEMENT family (person)*>  
  <!ELEMENT person (name)>  
  <!ELEMENT name (#PCDATA)>  
  <!ATTLIST person id ID #REQUIRED  
    mother IDREF #IMPLIED  
    father IDREF #IMPLIED  
    children IDREFS #IMPLIED>  
>
```



DTDs as Schemas

- ◆ not a very rich language for types (compared to programming language and database traditions), e.g., enumerations are not enough for attributes
- ◆ complicated to represent non-ordered data
- ◆ too simple id attribute mechanism (no point-to requirements (e.g., requirement about the type of the referenced element))
- ◆ See XML Revolution Chapter 3 for more comments.
- ◆ Later in the course we'll discuss schema languages in more detail.



Break

- ◆ 20 minutes break



Formal Semantics of Patterns in XSLT

Recall from Webprogramming (XML Revolution Ch. 5) XSLT

- ◆ template rule = pattern + template
- ◆ processing by
 - pattern matching
 - instantiating templates
- ◆ Now: look at formal semantics of patterns, formulated using standard techniques from programming language semantics.
- ◆ Wadler: A formal semantics of patterns in XSLT.



Motivation for Formal Semantics

- ◆ Clear and concise description (one page of formulas instead of 10 pages of prose)
- ◆ Brings ambiguities to light. E.g., [XSL-Dec98]:

The result of *MatchExpr* is true if, for any node in the document that contains the context of the *MatchExpr*, the result of evaluating the *SelectExpr* with that node as context contains the context of the *MatchExpr*. Otherwise the result is false
- ◆ Should it be “any node in (the document that contains the context of *MatchExpr*)” or “(any node in the document) that contains the context of *MatchExpr*)” ?



Motivation for Formal Semantics

- ◆ Makes it possible to *prove* basic properties
- ◆ Based on proved properties, can suggest simpler definitions
- ◆ E.g., partly based on the semantics presented here, the definition of match patterns of XSLT was simplified and made easier to implement.



Basic definitions

```
<Adam>
  <Cain>
    <Enoch />
  </Cain>
  <Abel />
  <Seth>
    <Enosh />
  </Seth>
</Adam>
```

Let $\{\text{Root}, \text{Adam}, \text{Cain}, \text{Enoch}, \text{Abel}, \text{Seth}, \text{Enosh}\}$ be the set of nodes in the tree, where `Root` is a distinguished root node.



Basic definitions

- ◆ write $Set(A)$ for the type of a set of elements of type A , i.e., the powerset of the set A
- ◆ $children : Node \rightarrow Set(Node)$
- ◆ $parent : Node \rightarrow Set_1(Node)$
- ◆ Examples:
 - $Cain \in children(Adam)$
 - $\{Cain, Abel\} \cup \{Seth\} = children(Adam)$



Basic definitions

- ◆ $siblings : Node \rightarrow Set(Node)$
- ◆ $siblings(x) = \{z \mid y \in parent(x), z \in children(y)\}$
- ◆ $siblings(Cain) = \{Cain, Abel, Seth\}$
- ◆ $properSiblings : Node \rightarrow Set(Node)$
- ◆ $siblings(x) = \{y \mid y \in siblings(x), y \neq x\}$
- ◆ $properSiblings(Cain) = \{Abel, Seth\}$
- ◆ $grandparent : Node \rightarrow Set_1(Node)$
- ◆ $grandparent(x) = \{z \mid y \in parent(x), z \in parent(y)\}$
- ◆ $grandparent(Adam) = \emptyset$



Basic definitions

- ◆ $self(x) = \{x\}$
- ◆ for $r : Node \rightarrow Set(Node)$ define
 - $r^+(x) = \{z \mid y \in r(x), z \in r^*(y)\}$
 - $r^*(x) = \{x\} \cup r^+(x)$
- ◆ Assume given functions

$$first, last : Set(node) \rightarrow Set_1(Node)$$

- ◆ Example: $first(children(Adam)) = \{Cain\}$



Data Model for XML

- ◆ $isRoot : Node \rightarrow Boolean$
- ◆ $isElement : Node \rightarrow Boolean$
- ◆ $isAttribute : Node \rightarrow Boolean$
- ◆ $isText : Node \rightarrow Boolean$
- ◆ $isComment : Node \rightarrow Boolean$
- ◆ $isPI Node \rightarrow Boolean$
- ◆ $children : Node \rightarrow Set(Node)$
- ◆ $parent : Node \rightarrow Set_1(Node)$
- ◆ $attributes : Node \rightarrow Set(Node)$
- ◆ $Root : Node \rightarrow Node$



Basic Laws

- ◆ $children(x) \neq \emptyset$ implies $isRoot(x) \vee isElement(x)$
- ◆ $attributes(x) \neq \emptyset$ implies $isElement(x)$
- ◆ $y \in attributes(x)$ implies $isAttribute(y)$
- ◆ $y = Root(x)$ implies $isRoot(y)$



Subnodes

- ◆ $subnodes : Node \rightarrow Set(Node)$
- ◆ $subnodes(x) = children(x) \cup attributes(x)$
- ◆ $y \in subnodes(x)$ **iff** $parent(y) = x$
- ◆ **Fact:** $x \in subnodes^*(Root(x))$



Name and Value

- ◆ $name : Node \rightarrow String$
- ◆ $value : Node \rightarrow String$

	<i>name</i>	<i>value</i>
<i>Root</i>	empty	value of children
<i>Element</i>	tag name	value of children
<i>Attribute</i>	attribute name	attribute value
<i>Text</i>	empty	content of text node
<i>Comment</i>	empty	content of comment
<i>PI</i>	target	content excluding target



Id and Split

Assume given

- ◆ $id : String \rightarrow Set_1(Node)$ (returns node associated with unique identifier)
- ◆ $split : String \rightarrow Set(String)$ (splits a string at whitespaces)



Denotational Semantics

- ◆ done on the board



Abstract Syntax for Patterns

- ◆ $n : name$ (also a string)
- ◆ $s : String$
- ◆ $p ::= p_1 \mid p_2 \mid /p \mid //p \mid \dots$
- ◆ $q ::= q_1 \text{ and } q_2 \mid \dots$



Semantic Functions

- ◆ $M : \text{Pattern} \rightarrow \text{Node} \rightarrow \text{Boolean}$
- ◆ $S : \text{Pattern} \rightarrow \text{Node} \rightarrow \text{Set}(\text{Node})$
- ◆ $Q : \text{Qualifier} \rightarrow \text{Node} \rightarrow \text{Boolean}$



Lessons from the semantics

- ◆ Recall

The result of *MatchExpr* is true if, for any node in the document that contains the context of the *MatchExpr*, the result of evaluating the *SelectExpr* with that node as context contains the context of the *MatchExpr*. Otherwise the result is false

- ◆ See definition of M : pattern p matches in a context x if there is *any* node x_1 in the document such that selecting with pattern p in context x_1 yields the original node x



Lessons from the semantics

Homework Question:

- ◆ Explain (in more detail than is given in the paper) the point of the second paragraph of Section 6, page 10, of Wadler's paper A Formal Semantics for Patterns in XSLT. Why would the alternative definition not work ?



Propositions

- ◆ done on the board

